

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,859	04/12/2001	Francois Roche	025000-069	1977	
T590 05/05/2003  E. Joseph Gess, Esq. Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER PRETKA, V WALTER		
	•		1746		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					$\mathcal{N}$			
		Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		09/832,859	RC	OCHE ET AL.	1			
		Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
		Walter Pretka	17	46	•			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	p ars on the cover	sheet with the corre	spondence add	lress			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EYP	IRE 3 MONTH(S) F	-ROM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe by within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely firmum of thirty (30) days will IX (6) MONTHS from the modecome ABANDONED (35)	led be considered timely. nailing date of this cor 5 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	November 2002 .						
2a)□		nis action is non-fir	nal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for fo	rmal matters, prose		e merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims	Lx parte Quayre,	1905 O.D. 11, 400	5.0. 210.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ition.		•			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17,18 and 29</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,22-24 and 30-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖾	Claim(s) 7-16, 19-21,25-28 and 34 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirer	nent.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a)							
. —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)[_	The proposed drawing correction filed on			by the Ex <b>amine</b>	r.			
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		on.					
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				•			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	) or (t).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		- 4					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
					<b>.</b> .			
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	i this Natio <b>nal S</b>	stage			
14) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (t	o a provisi <b>onal</b>	application).			
	The translation of the foreign language process  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachmen	•	· •						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater Other:					
C Potent and 1	Londomark Office				•			

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 22, 23 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.P.N. 6,039,816 to Morita et al.
- 2. Morita reads on claims 1, 4, and 22 which disclose a method for removing solid deposits of N2O5 from an ozone generator; said generator comprising: (a) a first and second electrode (column 17, line 32), inherently the electrodes are separated from each other and have a passageway therebetween. The solid deposits of N2O5 located within said passageway are inherently removed with the passing of the warm cleaning gas (column 1, line 65 et seq) through said passageway. The warm cleaning gas exiting said ozone generator has a temperature sufficient to maintain the N2O5 in a gaseous state until said N2O5 exits said ozone generator.
- Morita reads on claims 2, 5, 23, and 30-33 which discloses a method for removing solid deposits of N2O5 from an ozone generator, said generator comprising a housing (Figure 3A, element 20 and associated relevant text) enclosing an interior having an inlet (Figure 3A, element 30B and associated relevant text) and an outlet (Figure 3A, element 30C and associated

Art Unit: 1746

relevant text). Claim 2 further discloses a pair of spaced electrodes mounted within said interior (column 17, line 32), said electrodes being separated from each other (column 17, line 32), the solid deposits of N2O5 located within said interior, said method comprising step (i) of passing a warm cleaning gas (oxygen) through said interior (column 1, line 65 et seq) from said inlet (30B) to said outlet (30C). Inherently the gas (column 17, line 32) will evaporate at least some of the deposited N2O5. The warm cleaning gas exiting said ozone generator has a temperature sufficient to maintain the N2O5 in a gaseous state until said N2O5 exits said ozone generator.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3, 6, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Morita and 4,954,321 to Jensen.
- With respect to claims 3, 6, and 24 disclosing a method and an ozone generator. The 5. generator comprises a housing and a plurality of support tubes mounted within the housing, the support tubes each support one or more dielectrics and the support tubes have an inner wall and a passageway between said inner wall of the support tubes and the dielectrics. The generator also includes a support tube inlet in communication with a support tube outlet through the passageway. The one step method comprising the step of passing a warm cleaning gas through said passageway, disclosed in Morita as pure oxygen (column 1, line 66).

Art Unit: 1746

6. With respect to the method Morita discloses the step of passing a warm cleaning gas through the passageway (column 1, line 65). The generator disclosed in Morita at column 17 line 1 et seq. is a plate ozonizer. Morita does not disclose the tube ozone generator of the instant claim. Jensen discloses the tube ozone generator. See Figure 7 and 8 and associated text. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Morita and Jensen because plate and tube ozonizers are taught to be equivalent.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 8. With respect to claim 29, the claimed temperature range and pH controller are not found in the art. The art of record, while in the aggregate disclosing the various components fails to provide the motivation for making the claimed combination.
- 9. With respect to claims 17-18 the structure and steps associated with the ozonizer cleaning system of the present invention are not identically disclosed in the prior art of record. Although shell and tube heat exchangers are well known in the art, the use in ozonizer cleaning systems is not apparently taught nor does the record provide the motivation for making the claimed combination.

Art Unit: 1746

- 10. Claims 7-21,25-29 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. With respect to claim 7-8 disclosing that the flow of said cleaning gas through the support tube is such that the temperature of said cleaning gas exiting at each said support tube outlet is between 47C and 65C. The prior art of record fails to identically disclose the specific temperature range claimed, further the prior art of record fails to provide motivation for substituting the claimed temperature range.
- 12. With respect to claim 9, further limiting claim 6 and disclosing that the flow of said cleaning gas is heated to between 55C and 60C before entering the support tube inlet and exits said support tube outlet between 50C and 55C. The prior art of record fails to identically disclose the specific temperature ranges as claimed, further the prior art of record fails to provide motivation for substituting the claimed temperature range.
- 13. With respect to claims 10-12 and 16 the structure and steps associated with the ozonizer cleaning system of the present invention are not identically disclosed in the prior art of record. Although shell and tube heat exchangers are well known in the art, the use in ozonizer cleaning systems is not apparently taught nor does the record provide the motivation for making the claimed combination.

Art Unit: 1746

- 14. With respect to claims 13-15 further limiting claim 12, again the claimed temperature ranges are not identically disclosed in the prior art of record, and further the prior art of record fails to provide motivation for substituting the claimed temperature ranges.
- 15. With respect to claim 19-21 and 25-27, disclosing the step of adding a neutralizing agent to maintain an approximately constant pH in a water trap that has received a sufficient portion of a cleaning gas. The prior art of record is silent with respect to claimed water trap.
- 16. With respect to claim 28, disclosing the creation of a measurable change in pH from an operating reference pH and monitoring said pH to determine when said pH returns to and remains substantially at said operating reference pH. The claimed monitoring and control system is not disclosed in the prior art of record.
- 17. With respect to claim 34, disclosing the cleaning gas is warmed to between 47C and 65C.

  The prior art of record fails to identically disclose the specific temperature range claimed, further the prior art of record fails to provide motivation for substituting the claimed temperature range.
- 18. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 1746

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter Pretka whose telephone number is (703) 305 5103. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308 4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872 9310 for regular communications and (703) 873 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 5103.

Walter Pretka Patent Examiner

April 29, 2003

HANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700